AF 3714 ITU

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Application of:

Group Art Unit: 3714

Daniel L. McConnell, et al.

Examiner: Coburn, Corbett B.

Serial No.: 09/832,718

Attorney Docket: 069035-001

Filed:

4/11/2001

Title:

A SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR USE OF A PERSONALLY PORTABLE

VIDEO DEVICE FOR VIEWING A LIVE EVENT

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPELLANT'S BRIEF UNDER 37 CFR 1.192

This brief is in furtherance of the Notice of Appeal filed in this application on June 1, 2004.

The fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(c) is paid concurrently with the filing of this brief in accordance with the accompanying Transmittal of Appeal Brief.

This brief is transmitted in triplicate in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).

1. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST - 37 CFR 1.192(c)(1)

The real party in interest in this appeal is the assignee of the present application, T3D, Inc., a corporation of the State of Florida.

2. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES - 37 CFR 1.192(c)(2)

There is no other appeal or interference that will directly affect, or that will be directly affected by, or that will have a bearing on the Board's decision in this appeal.

08/03/2004 GWORDOF1 00000018 09832718

01 FC:2402

165.00 OP

3. STATUS OF CLAIMS - 37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)

Claims cancelled: 1-6 and 14-23.

Claims withdrawn but not cancelled: none.

Claims pending: 7-13 and 24-33.

Claims allowed: none.

Claims rejected: 7-13 and 24-33.

The claims on appeal are 7-13 and 24-33.

4. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS - 37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)

After the Final Rejection contained in the Office Communication dated 01 March 2004, an amendment was filed under 37 CFR 1.116 on 21 April 2004 and has been entered in accordance with the Office Communication dated 07 May 2004. That amendment successfully overcame the rejections under 35 USC 112 that had been presented in the Final Rejection; however, the Examiner sustained the rejections under 35 USC 103 of the Final Rejection.

5. SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION - 37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)

The invention involves a method and apparatus for wirelessly transmitting and receiving a plurality of different live action camera views of a live event directly to spectators in attendance within the live event's spectator viewing area who can view the transmitted live-action views only with a required personally portable receiver/display unit. "Personally portable" is used in the specification to describe a device that can be individually carried or worn by the spectators who are attending the live event. The invention further allows each one of the live event attendees who are using one of the personally portable units to be able to individually and randomly select from among the plurality of different live-action camera views or from non-live action predetermined content signals being transmitted simultaneously within the confines of the live event's viewing area. Thus, the apparatus and method of the present invention enhance the personal viewing experience of attendees at a live event by providing them with their personal choice from among a plurality of views of the live action which can not

otherwise be seen from their assigned seat, or a view of related content, at any time while in attendance at the live event.

6. ISSUES - 37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)

- (1) Whether claims 7, 8, 12, 13 and 24-32 are unpatentable under 35 USC 103(a) over Simonelli (U.S. Patent 4,817,948) in view of Yoshimatsu (U.S. Patent 6,326,994).
- (2) Whether claims 9-11 and 33 are unpatentable under 35 USC 103(a) over Simonelli (U.S. Patent 4,817,948) in view of Yoshimatsu (U.S. Patent 6,326,994) and in view of official notice.

7. GROUPING OF CLAIMS - 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7)

As to the rejection applied against claims 7, 8, 12, 13 and 24-32, it is the appellant's intention that the rejected claims stand or fall together.

As to the rejection applied against claims 9-11 and 33, it is the appellant's intention that the rejected claims do not stand or fall together. Each of these four claims should be grouped separately, since each contains patentably distinct limitations. Specifically:

Claim 9 includes the limitations of "offering the portable display units for rent to the attendees for use to enhance views available to the attendees from their respective designated viewing areas to a preferred viewing location during the event.";

Claim 10 includes the limitations of "transmitting closed wireless network communications signals corresponding to predetermined content different than the video signals produced by the cameras via the wireless communications system for selected alternative viewing by the attendees while at the event.";

Claim 11 includes the limitations of "transmitting wireless communications signals corresponding to advertising content via the wireless communications system for viewing by the attendees while at the event."; and

Claim 33 includes the limitations of "transmitting wireless communications signals corresponding to predetermined content different than the video signals via the wireless communications system for selected viewing by the attendees; and offering the portable

display units for rent to attendees for use during the event at a price responsive to the selected content."

8. ARGUMENT - 37 CFR 1.192(c)(8) Issue (1):

With regard to the rejection of claims 7, 8, 12, 13 and 24-32 as being unpatentable under 35 USC 103(a) over Simonelli (U.S. Patent 4,817,948) in view of Yoshimatsu (U.S. Patent 6,326,994), the appellant submits that the combination of Simonelli and Yoshimatsu fails to describe all of the limitations of any of these claims. Each of the pending claims includes limitations directed to a portable receiver/display combination, as enumerated below:

Independent claim 7 includes the limitations of "providing to a plurality of attendees at the event a respective plurality of <u>portable display units each containing a multi-channel receiving device and a video display device</u>, the <u>portable</u> display units adapted to be <u>worn or carried</u> by the respective attendees."

Independent claim 12 includes the limitations of "a plurality of <u>personally portable</u> display units each containing <u>a multi-channel receiving device and a video display</u> device ...for personal viewing ...by attendees ...who are <u>carrying</u> and using a respective personally portable display unit."

Independent claim 24 includes the limitations of "a <u>personally portable receiver</u> ... a pair of <u>personally portable video display devices</u> connected to the portable receiver and worn or carried by an observer together with the personally portable receiver."

Independent claim 27 includes the limitations of "providing a <u>personally portable</u> <u>display unit containing a multi-channel receiving device and a video display device</u> ... for personal viewing by a person <u>carrying the personally portable display unit</u>.", and

Independent claim 29 includes the limitations of "a <u>personally portable receiver</u> ... a <u>personally portable video display device connected to and carried with the personally portable receiver</u>."

The combination of Simonelli and Yoshimatsu does not describe such a combination of elements. The Examiner admits on page 2 of the Office Communication dated 05/07/2004 that the device of Simonelli is movable but is not personally portable.

The Examiner thus combines Simonelli with the head mounted display (goggles) of Yoshimatsu. However, the goggles of Yoshimatsu are for display only and do not include a receiving device. Except for the display element, the device of Yoshimatsu is non-portable. Yoshimatsu does not describe a portable receiving device nor a portable receiver/display combination. Thus, the combination of Yoshimatsu with Simonelli fails to yield a device that contains a portable receiver/display combination. Because the combination of Yoshimatsu and Simonelli lacks a material limitation of each of the rejected claims, the rejection of claims 7, 8, 12, 13 and 24-32 under 35 USC 103 is defective and should be withdrawn.

Issue (2):

With regard to the rejection of claims 9-11 and 33 as being unpatentable under 35 USC 103(a) over Simonelli (U.S. Patent 4,817,948) in view of Yoshimatsu (U.S. Patent 6,326,994) in view of official notice, the appellant submits that the combination of Simonelli and Yoshimatsu and official notice fails to describe all of the limitations of any of these claims. The Examiner has taken official notice that the profit motive is well known and that displaying advertising is well known. Since each of claims 9-11 and 33 includes different patentably distinct limitations, these claims are discussed separately below.

Claim 9: Claim 9 depends from claim 7 and includes the further limitations of "offering the portable display units for rent to the attendees for use to enhance views available to the attendees from their respective designated viewing areas to a preferred viewing location during the event." While the appellant agrees that the profit motive is well known, there is no teaching or suggestion in the prior art of the claimed method of providing unaltered live-action remote-viewing entertainment to attendees at a live event wherein additional revenue is generated by allowing attendees to rent an enhanced view of the live event from their designated viewing area. The prior art actually teaches away from such a method because the viewing location is fixed with the seat arrangement, and seats with less desirable viewing perspectives are normally sold at a lower price when compared to seats with a more desirable viewing perspective. The method of claim 9 overcomes this commercial limitation by providing a method that

enables the purveyor of live entertainment to augment the revenue stream without changing seating arrangements. The combination of official notice with Simonelli and Yoshimatsu fails to teach such a combination of method steps. Thus, the rejection of claim 9 is defective and should be withdrawn.

Claim 10: Claim 10 depends from claim 7 and includes the further limitations of "transmitting closed wireless network communications signals corresponding to predetermined content different than the video signals produced by the cameras via the wireless communications system for selected alternative viewing by the attendees while at the event." There is no teaching or suggestion in the prior art of the claimed method of transmitting a combination of camera views and other different content for selected viewing by attendees at a live event. Both Simonelli and Yoshimatsu teach only the transmitting of signals corresponding to the video signals produced by the cameras. In spite of the fact that displaying advertising is known, there is no suggestion in the cited prior art to transmit different content simultaneously with camera views. The prior art teaches away from the claimed combination because different content that is advertising is normally transmitted in lieu of program content during an advertising slot when camera views are not available, whereas claim 10 allows for "selected alternative viewing" of the camera view or the different content by the attendees while at the event. Thus, the rejection of claim 10 is defective and should be withdrawn.

<u>Claim 11:</u> Claim 11 depends from claim 7 and includes the further limitations of "transmitting wireless communications signals corresponding to advertising content via the wireless communications system for viewing by the attendees while at the event." While displaying advertising content is known, there is no teaching or suggestion in the prior art to display advertising content along with camera views of a live event to attendees at that event. Thus, the rejection of claim 11 is defective and should be withdrawn.

Claim 33: Claim 33 depends from claim 7 and includes the further limitations of "transmitting wireless communications signals corresponding to predetermined content different than the video signals via the wireless communications system for selected viewing by the attendees; and offering the portable display units for rent to attendees for use during the event at a price responsive to the selected content." While the profit

motive is known and displaying advertising is known, nothing in the prior art teaches or suggests the claimed method of generating revenue at a live event. Both Simonelli and Yoshimatsu teach only the transmitting of signals corresponding to the video signals produced by the cameras. The appellant has claimed the additional step of transmitting additional different content along with the camera views for selected viewing by the attendees. This step alone makes claim 33 patentable; however, the appellant has further claimed the additional step of charging a rent for the portable display unit that is responsive to the content selected for viewing. Nothing in the prior art or official notice suggests such a combination of steps. Thus, the rejection of claim 33 is defective and should be withdrawn.

9. APPENDIXOF CLAIMS - 37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)

An appendix containing a copy of the claims involved in this appeal is provided herewith.

Respectfully submitted,

David G. Maire, Reg. No. 34,865

Java S. Moure

Beusse Brownlee Wolter Mora & Maire, P.A. 390 North Orange Ave., Suite 2500

Orlando, FL 32801

telephone: 407-926-7704

APPENDIX OF CLAIMS ON APPEAL

7. A method of providing unaltered live-action remote-viewing entertainment to attendees while at a live event, the method comprising:

providing a plurality of cameras positioned at a plurality of locations within an event for producing a plurality of live-action video signals;

locally transmitting within the event a plurality of live-action wireless communication signals corresponding to the plurality of live-action video signals via a respective plurality of transmitters associated with the plurality of cameras at the event; and

providing to a plurality of attendees at the event a respective plurality of portable display units each containing a multi-channel receiving device and a video display device, the portable display units adapted to be worn or carried by the respective attendees at the event for simultaneously receiving the locally transmitted live-action wireless communication signals directly from the transmitters and for displaying images responsive to a live-action video signal individually selected by each of the respective attendees;

respective attendees individually wearing or carrying the respective portable display units for personal viewing, only while at the event, of selected images corresponding to unaltered live-action views captured by the cameras.

8. The method of claim 7, further comprising:

providing a pair of cameras placed adjacent to each other at each of the plurality of locations within the event to view the event from two different perspectives for producing a corresponding plurality of depth-perceptive video signals;

locally transmitting live-action wireless communications signals corresponding to the plurality of depth-perceptive video signals via the wireless communications system; and

providing the portable display units with two adjacent video display devices for displaying two different images corresponding to the views of the event from the perspective of the respective cameras for personal viewing by the attendees with true depth perception as would be seen by the attendee from the perspective of the placement of the pair of cameras.

- 9. The method of claim 7, further comprising offering the portable display units for rent to the attendees for use to enhance views available to the attendees from their respective designated viewing areas to a preferred viewing location during the event.
- 10. The method of claim 7, further comprising transmitting closed wireless network communications signals corresponding to predetermined content different than the video signals produced by the cameras via the wireless communications system for selected alternative viewing by the attendees while at the event.
- 11. The method of claim 7, further comprising transmitting wireless communications signals corresponding to advertising content via the wireless communications system for viewing by the attendees while at the event.

12. An apparatus for providing video information comprising:

at least one camera adapted for capturing at least one live-action area of an event and for producing at least one respective live-action video signal responsive to the at least one live-action area of the event;

a transmitter for transmitting at least one live-action wireless communication signal corresponding to the at least one live-action video signal;

a plurality of personally portable display units each containing a multi-channel receiving device and a video display device for receiving the at least one live-action wireless communication signal directly from the transmitter and for displaying unaltered live-action images responsive to the at least one wireless live-action communication signal for personal viewing of the at least one live-action area by attendees while at the event who are carrying and using a respective personally portable display unit.

13. The apparatus of claim 12, wherein each personally portable display unit comprises a headset for supporting the multi-channel receiving device and the video display device.

24. A wireless video apparatus comprising:

a pair of video cameras positioned adjacent each other to capture a depthperceptive view of a scene from two different perspectives corresponding to two eyes of an observer;

a transmitting device connected to each video camera for transmitting a wireless video signal responsive to the view from the perspective of the respective video camera;

a personally portable receiver for receiving the wireless video signals;

a pair of personally portable video display devices connected to the portable receiver and worn or carried by an observer together with the personally portable receiver for cooperatively displaying to two respective eyes of the observer respective images produced from the respective wireless video signals and observable by the observer as a true three dimensional view of the scene as though viewed by the observer from the perspective of the positioned pair of video cameras.

25. The wireless video apparatus of claim 24, further comprising:

a plurality of pairs of cameras, each pair positioned from two different perspectives corresponding to the two eyes of an observer to receive respective depthperspective views of a plurality of scenes;

a transmitting device connected to each video camera for transmitting a respective wireless video signal responsive to the view from the perspective of the video camera placement;

a personally portable selector transported by the observer with each personally portable receiver for selectively displaying to the two eyes of the observer an unaltered three dimensional view providing true depth perception as though viewed by the observer from the perspective of a selected pair of the cameras.

26. The personal wireless video apparatus of claim 24, further comprising:

a positioning device attached to the pair of cameras for moving the pair of cameras relative to the scene in response to a position signal;

a wireless receiver connected to the positioning device and adapted to provide the position signal in response to a wireless view signal;

a portable wireless transmitter for transmitting the wireless view signal in response to a position input signal;

a portable controller connected to the portable wireless transmitter for producing the position input signal in response to a physical input provided by the observer. 27. A method of providing video information, the method comprising: providing a plurality of cameras at a plurality of locations for producing a plurality of video signals;

locally transmitting closed-network wireless communication signals corresponding to the plurality of video signals via a wireless communications system; and

providing a personally portable display unit containing a multi-channel receiving device and a video display device, the personally portable display unit adapted for directly and locally receiving the closed-network wireless communication signals and for displaying images responsive to selected ones of the video signals for personal viewing by a person carrying the personally portable display unit.

28. The method of claim 27, further comprising:

providing a pair of cameras at each of the plurality of locations, each camera of a pair positioned from a different perspective corresponding to a distance between two eyes of an observer for producing a plurality of depth-perceptive video signals;

transmitting closed wireless network communications signals corresponding to the plurality of depth-perceptive video signals via the wireless communications system; and

providing the personally portable display unit with a pair of video display devices for displaying to the two separate eyes of the person carrying the personally portable display unit respective images observable as a three dimensional view with true depth perception as though viewed from the perspective of the respective pair of cameras for personal viewing by the person carrying the personally portable display unit.

- 29. A wireless video apparatus comprising:
- a video camera adapted to be positioned to capture a view of a scene;
- a transmitting device connected to the video camera for transmitting a wireless video signal responsive to the view from the perspective of the video camera;
- a personally portable receiver for receiving the wireless video signal directly from the transmitting device; and
- a personally portable video display device connected to and carried with the personally portable receiver by an observer for displaying to the observer an image responsive to the wireless video signal and corresponding to a view of the scene from the perspective of the camera.
 - 30. The wireless video apparatus of claim 29, further comprising:
- a plurality of cameras adapted to be positioned to receive respective views of a plurality of scenes;
- a transmitting device connected to each video camera for transmitting a respective wireless video signal responsive to the view from the perspective of the video camera;
- a personally portable selector connected to and carried with the portable receiver for selectively displaying to the observer an image from the perspective of a selected camera.
 - 31. The personal wireless video apparatus of claim 29, further comprising:
- a positioning device attached to the camera for moving the camera relative to a fixed position respective to the scene in response to a position signal;
- a wireless receiver connected to the positioning device and adapted to provide the position signal in response to a wireless view signal;
- a personally portable wireless transmitter for transmitting the wireless view signal in response to a position input signal;
- a personally portable controller connected to and carried with the portable wireless transmitter by the observer for producing the position input signal in response to a physical input provided by the observer.

32. The wireless video apparatus of claim 29, further comprising:

the video camera comprising a pair of video cameras disposed to capture respective different views of the same scene from two different perspectives corresponding to two eyes of the observer;

the personally portable video display device comprising a pair of video display devices adapted for displaying to the two eyes of the observer respective images of the scene from the perspective of the pair of spaced apart video cameras to provide the observer with true depth perception of the scene.

33. The method of claim 7, further comprising:

transmitting wireless communications signals corresponding to predetermined content different than the video signals via the wireless communications system for selected viewing by the attendees; and

offering the portable display units for rent to attendees for use during the event at a price responsive to the selected content.

PTO/SB/21 (02-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE erwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Application Number 09/832,718 **TRANSMITTAL** Filing Date 04/11/2001 FORM First Named Inventor Daniel L. McConnell, et al Art Unit 3714 (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) **Examiner Name** Coburn, Corbett B.

069035-001

Date

July 29, 2004

Total Number of	Pages in This Submission		, morney Booker Harrison	06	59035-001				
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)									
Amendment Amendm	smittal Form ee Attached ent/Reply fter Final ffidavits/declaration(s) n of Time Request Abandonment Request on Disclosure Statement Copy of Priority nt(s) e to Missing Parts/ te Application tesponse to Missing Parts nder 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Addre Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)		After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC) Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): Post Card				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT									
Firm or Individual name	David G. Maire		•						
Signature	Signature Land. Mark								
Date	07-29-2004								
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING									

Attorney Docket Number

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidential this is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Maire

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

the date shown below. Typed or printed name

Signature

Juliana Maire

PTO/SB/17 (08-03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE eduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

RANSMITTAL for FY 2003 Effective 01/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

✓ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

(\$) 165.00**TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT**

Name (Print/Type)

David_G.Maire

Complete if Known							
Application Number	09/832,718						
Filing Date	04/11/2001						
First Named Inventor	Daniel L. McConnell, et al						
Examiner Name	Coburn, Corbett B.						
Art Unit	3714						
Attorney Docket No.	069035-001						

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)	FEE CALCULATION (continued)					
✓ Check Credit card Money Other None	3. ADDITIONAL FEES					
Deposit Account:	Large Entity Small Entity					
Deposit	Fee Fee Fee Code (\$) Code	Fee Fee Description e (\$)	Fee Paid			
Account Number	1051 130 2051	· · ·				
Deposit Account	1052 50 2052	2 25 Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet				
Name The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply)	1053 130 1053	3 130 Non-English specification				
Charge fee(s) indicated below Credit any overpayments	1812 2,520 1812	2 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination				
Charge any additional fee(s) during the pendency of this application	1804 920* 180	04 920* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action				
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-identified deposit account.	1805 1,840* 1805	5 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action				
FEE CALCULATION	1251 110 225	1 55 Extension for reply within first month				
1. BASIC FILING FEE	1252 410 225	2 205 Extension for reply within second month				
Large Entity Small Entity	1253 930 225	3 465 Extension for reply within third month				
Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Fee Paid Code (\$) Code (\$)	1254 1,450 2254	4 725 Extension for reply within fourth month				
1001 750 2001 375 Utility filing fee	1255 1,970 2255	55 985 Extension for reply within fifth month				
1002 330 2002 165 Design filing fee	1401 320 240	01 160 Notice of Appeal				
1003 520 2003 260 Plant filing fee	1402 320 240	02 160 Filing a brief in support of an appeal	165.00			
1004 750 2004 375 Reissue filing fee	1403 280 240	03 140 Request for oral hearing				
1005 160 2005 80 Provisional filing fee	1451 1,510 145°	1 1,510 Petition to institute a public use proceeding				
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)	1452 110 245	52 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable				
	1453 1,300 2453	3 650 Petition to revive - unintentional				
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE Fee from	1501 1,300 250	1 650 Utility issue fee (or reissue)				
Ext <u>ra Claims below</u> Fee Paid	1502 470 250	02 235 Design issue fee				
Total Claims X	1503 630 250	03 315 Plant issue fee				
Claims X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	1460 130 146	60 130 Petitions to the Commissioner				
	1807 50 180	307 50 Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)				
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee <u>Fee Description</u>	1806 180 180					
Code (\$) Code (\$)	8021 40 803	221 40 Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)				
1202 18 2202 9 Claims in excess of 20	1809 750 280					
1201 84 2201 42 Independent claims in excess of 3		(37 ČFR 1.129(a))				
1203 280 2203 140 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid 1204 84 2204 42 ** Reissue independent claims	1810 750 28	310 375 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))				
1204 84 2204 42 ** Reissue independent claims over original patent	1801 750 280					
1205 18 2205 9 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	1802 900 180					
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)	Other fee (specify))				
**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above *Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 165.00						
SUBMITTED BY (Complete (if applicable))						

Date Signature WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Registration No.

(Attorney/Agent)

34,865

Telephone 407-926-7704

29